arXiv:hep-lat/9410007v1 18 Oct 1994

Lattice Results for Heavy Light Matrix Elements

A. Soni

Brookhaven National Laboratory
Physics Department
Upton, NY 11973

Abstract

Lattice results for heavy light matrix elements are reviewed and some of their implications are very briefly discussed [1]. Despite the fact that in most cases the lattice results for weak matrix elements at the moment have only a modest accuracy of about 20–30% they already have important phenomenological repercussions; e.g. for V_{td}/V_{ts}, x_s/x_d and $B \to K^*\gamma$.

I. As samples of "new" projects, I briefly discuss four projects

a. The NRQCD group [2] reports a preliminary result on the mass splitting $m_{B_S^*} - m_{B_S}$. They use 100 configurations on a $16^3 \times 32$ lattice of the HEMCGC group (dynamical, staggered $n_f = 2$, $am = .01$ and $\beta = 5.6$). Their very preliminary result is

$$\begin{aligned} m_{B_S^*} - m_{B_S} \quad = \quad & [50 \pm 5(\text{statistical}) \pm 10(\text{am}) \\ & \pm 15(\text{scale})] MeV \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Since rough phenomenological estimates for the splitting invariable yield about 50 MeV, such a lattice calculation will be very interesting if the errors can be brought down to 0 (5 MeV).

b. The LANL collaboration [3] is acquiring many interesting results via their large scale simulations on the CM-5. So far they have analyzed 58 configurations of size $32^3 \times 64$ at $\beta = 6.0$. This lattice has the distinction of having the largest physical volume used so far for weak matrix element calculations. Comparison with results previously obtained on smaller lattices will therefore be very valuable. Here are some of their preliminary findings:

$$(1) \qquad f_K/f\pi \qquad = 1.163 \pm .023;$$

$$(2) \qquad \frac{f_+^{D\to\pi e\nu}(q^2=0)}{f_+^{D\to K e\nu}(q^2=0)} \quad = 0.86 \pm .08; \tag{2}$$

$$(3) \qquad \frac{A_2^{D\to K^* e\nu}(0)}{A_1^{D\to K^* e\nu}(0)} \quad = .77 \pm .22.$$

c. The Fermilab Static Group (FSG) [4] is now finishing a comprehensive study with the use of their static method. They reported interesting results on f_B, f_{B_S}/f_{B_u} and $m_{B_S} - m_{B_u}$. With their method they find a significant lattice spacing (a) dependence for f_B whereas the other two quantities appear insensitive to a. Their key results are:

$$f_B = 188 \pm 23(\text{stat}) \pm 15(\text{sys})^{+27}_{-0}(\text{extr}) \pm 14(a) \tag{3}$$

$$f_{B_S}/f_{B_u} = 1.216 \pm .041 \pm .016 \tag{4}$$

$$m_{B_S} - m_{B_u} = 86 \pm 12 \pm 7(\text{MeV}) \tag{5}$$

d. MILC collaboration [5] is undertaking a dedicated study of f_B using primarily the Intel Paragon machine. They have analyzed so far 40 configurations ($24^2 \times 80$, $\beta = 6.3$). Their preliminary results for the decay constants are given below:

$$\begin{aligned} f_B &= 174 \pm 7 \text{ MeV} \\ f_{B_S} &= 198 \pm 7 \text{ MeV} \\ f_D &= 205 \pm 5 \text{ MeV} \\ f_{D_S} &= 228 \pm 5 \text{ MeV} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

II. A illustrative sample of weak matrix elements results are compiled in Table I. The ones mentioned above that are now reporting final results are included where relevant.

III. Phenomenological implications. While in many cases the accuracy of the existing lattice results is not that great, being typically about 20%, (an exception is B_K [6]) yet they have important implications already. This is in great part due to the fact in many cases little reliable information exists about several of these matrix elements. Thus an answer with even a modest accuracy of 20% can have crucial impact. Of course lattice methods will continue to provide refined results in many cases.

I discuss some of the key implications that emerge. The lattice results for f_B and the B parameter along with the experimental result on B-$\bar{B}$ mixing leads to:

$$\left|\frac{V_{td}}{V_{ts}}\right| = .22 \pm .08 \tag{7}$$

Using the above along with the lattice results for (see Table 1):

$$f_{B_S}/f_B = 1.16 \pm .10 \tag{8}$$

leads to an indication for the expectation for B_S-$\bar{B}_S$ mixing:

$$\frac{x_s}{x_d} = 18 \pm 14. \tag{9}$$

Finally, the lattice result for $B \to K^* + \gamma$:

$$H_{K^*}^{\text{lattice}} \equiv \frac{BR(B \to K^*\gamma)^{\text{lattice}}}{BR(b \to s\gamma)} = 6.0 \pm 1.2 \pm 3.4\% \tag{10}$$

has important repercussions. Recall two recent experimental results [7, 8]:

$$BR(B \to K^*\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 1.5 \pm .9) \times 10^{-5} \tag{11}$$

$$BR(b \to s\gamma) = (2.32 \pm .51 \pm .29 \pm .32) \times 10^{-4} \tag{12}$$

Together they imply:

$$H_{K^*}^{\text{expt}} \simeq (19.4 \pm 7.8)\% \tag{13}$$

While the errors in the experiment as well as in the lattice calculations [8–10] are too large at present to allow one to draw strong conclusions, the difference between the two is a quantitative measure of the long-distance contributions. The point is that while the lattice calculation is by construction of a short-distance piece only, experiments may also be seeing some long-distance contamination coming, for example, from $B \to \psi_{\text{virtual}} + K^*$, $\psi_{\text{virtual}} \to \gamma$. Improved lattice calculations as well as experiments are therefore highly desirable to quantify the extent of the long-distance contributions to such important radiative decays.

Acknowledgements

I am thankful to Christine Davies for inviting me to give this mini-review. I have also benefitted from discussions with her and with Claude Bernard, Sara Collins, Estia Eichten, Rajan Gupta, Paul MacKenzie, Junko Shigemitsu, Jim Simone, and John Sloan for numerous discussions. This research was supported in part under the DOE grant number DE-AC0276CH00016.

References

[1] This is BNL-60828. Presented at the XXVII International Conference on High Energy Physics, Glasgow, July 1994.

[2] NRQCD group: S. Collins, C. Davis, U. Heller, A. Khan, J. Shigemitsu, and J. Sloan (private communication).

[3] The LANL Collaboration: T. Bhattacharya, J. Grandy, R. Gupta, G. Kilcup, J. Labrenz, S. Sharpe, and P. Tamayo (private communication).

[4] The Fermi-lab Static Group (FSG): T. Duncan, E. Eicheten, J. Flynn, B. Mill, and H. Thacker, hep-lat 9407025.

[5] The MILC Collaboration: C. Bernard *et al* (private communication).

[6] S. Sharpe in *Lattice '93*, p. 403.

[7] R. Ammar *et al.* (CLEO), Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 674.

[8] B. Barish *et al.* (CLEO), preprint CLEO-CONF-94-1.

[9] C. Bernard *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72** (1994) 1402.

[10] K.D. Bowler *et al.* (UKQCD), Phys. Rev. Lett. **72** (1994) 1397;hep-lat 9407013.

[11] See, A. Abada talk [for the APE group]; See also M. Ciuchini *et al.*, Univ. of Rome preprint 94/1020.

[12] M.B. Gavela *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B**306** (1988) 677.

[13] C. Bernard and A. Soni, Lattice '89, p. 495.

[14] The 90% CL errors on the summary of the lattice results are subjective.

[15] C. Bernard *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D**38** (1988) 3540.

[16] M.B. Gavela *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **206B** (1988) 113.

[17] C. Bernard *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D**49** (1994) 2536.

[18] T. Degrand and R. Loft, Phys. Rev. D**38** (1988) 954.

[19] R.M. Baxter *et al.* (URQCD), Phys. Rev. D**49** (1994) 1594.

[20] A. Abada *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B**376** (1992) 172.

SAMPLE OF RESULTS FOR HADRON MATRIX ELEMENTS FROM QUENCHED LATTICE QCD

QUANTITY	VALUE	AUTHORS (REMARKS)
The "B" Parameters		
$\hat{B}_K$	$.825 \pm .027 \pm .023$	Gupta, Kilcup, Sharpe (Staggered) [6]
	$.85 \pm .20$	ELC (Wilson) [12]
	$.86 \pm .11 \pm .05$	Bernard, Soni (Wilson) [13]
$\hat{B}_K$	$.82 \pm .10$	Most likely $\equiv$ 90% CL [14] (inc. statistical and systematic errors)
$\hat{B}_B$	$1.3 \pm .2$	Bernard, *et al.* [15]
	$1.16 \pm .07$	ELC [16]
$\hat{B}_B \simeq \hat{B}_{B_S}$	$1.2 \pm .2$	Most Likely (90% CL) [14]
The Decay Constants		
f_K/f_π	$1.08 \pm .03 \pm .08$	Bernard, Labrenz, Soni [17]
f_D (MeV)	$174 \pm 26 \pm 46$	Bernard, *et al.* [15]
	190 ± 33	Degrand, Loft [18]
	210 ± 40	ELC [16]
	185^{+4+42}_{-3-7}	UKQCD [19]
	$208 \pm 9 \pm 32$	Bernard, Labrenz, Soni [17]
f_D (MeV)	197 ± 25	Most Likely (90% CL) [14]
f_{D_S} (MeV)	222 ± 16	Degrand, Loft [18]
	$234 \pm 46 \pm 55$	Bernard, *et al.* [15]
	230 ± 50	ELC [16]
	$212 \pm 4^{+46}_{-7}$	UKQCD [19]
	$230 \pm 7 \pm 35$	Bernard, Labrenz, Soni [17]
f_{D_S} (MeV)	221 ± 30	Most Likely (90% CL) [14]
f_B (MeV)	205 ± 40	ELC [20]
	$160 \pm 6^{+53}_{-19}$	UKQCD [19]
	$187 \pm 10 \pm 37$	Bernard, Labrenz, Soni [17]
	$188 \pm 23 \pm 15^{+27}_{-0} \pm 14$	FSG [4]
f_B (MeV)	173 ± 40	Most Likely (90% CL) [14]
f_{B_S} (MeV)	194^{+6+62}_{-5-9}	UKQCD [19]
	$207 \pm 9 \pm 40$	Bernard, Labrenz, Soni [17]
f_{B_S} (MeV)	201 ± 40	Most Likely (90% CL) [14]
f_{B_S}/f_B	$1.22^{+.04}_{-.03}$	UKQCD [19]
	$1.11 \pm .02 \pm .05$	Bernard, Labrenz, Soni [17]
	$1.22 \pm .04 \pm .02$	FSG [4]
f_{B_S}/f_B	$1.16 \pm .10$	Most Likely (90% CL) [14]
Radiative B Decays		
$R_{K^*} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(B \to \gamma K^*)}{\Gamma(b \to \gamma s)}$	$= 6.0 \pm 1.2 \pm 3.4\%$	Bernard, Hsieh, Soni [9]
	$8.8^{+28}_{-25} \pm 3.0 \pm 1.0$	UKQCD [10]